1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT SEA		
10	KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON,	CASE # 2:10-cv-01156-RSM	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE	
12	v.	ORDER, STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO COMPEL	
13			
14	LYNCH MONEY MARKETS, INC., a Delaware corporation; MERRILL  CONFERENCE  CONFERENCE		
15	LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER AND		
16	SMITH, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-100,		
17	Defendants.		
18		-	
19	The Court's recent Order granting in part and denying in part Defendants' motion to		
20	dismiss Plaintiff's first amended complaint has narrowed the scope of this litigation and likely		
21	rendered moot issues raised in pending motions. The Court therefore ORDERS:		
22	(1) Defendants' motion for a protective	order (Dkt. # 84) is STRICKEN.	
23	1	ction of documents (Dkt. #71, 73) are	
24	STRICKEN.		

1	(3)	The Court will hold a status conference at 11:00 AM Pacific time on July 27, 2012.
2	(4)	Counsel shall be prepared to discuss at the status conference (1) how the scheduling order currently in effect should be modified and (2) any remaining
3		discovery issues.
4	(5)	The parties are directed to meet and confer on these and any other issues they wish
5		to discuss at the status conference. The parties shall file a joint status report on the result of their discussions no later than <u>July 9, 2012</u> . The joint status report shall include a proposed scheduling order and shall identify any remaining discovery.
6		include a proposed scheduling order and shall identify any remaining discovery issues that may require the Court's intervention.
7	(6)	Each party may submit one proposed order on unresolved issues to be discussed at
8		the status conference no later than 5:00 PM Pacific time on July 20, 2012. The proposed order shall not exceed ten pages. Each party may also submit one letter
9		of explanation on its proposed order no later than <u>5:00 PM Pacific time on July 20, 2012</u> . The letter of explanation shall not exceed ten pages. Finally, each party
10		may file a response to the opposing party's proposed order and letter of explanation no later than <u>July 24, 2012</u> . The response shall not exceed five pages.
11		The Court will not entertain other briefing on the parties' proposed orders.
12	(7)	The Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.
13	DATED: June 27, 2012.	
14		
15		
16		
17		RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		